

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/774,950 | 02/09/2004 | Philipp J. Herget | 1001 | 8637 |
| 7 | 7590 06/07/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| Philipp Herget | | | NGO, NGAN V | |
| Apt 5 2015 Wendove | er St. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Pittsburgh, PA 15217-1938 | | | 2818 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/07/2003 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | AK | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Antique Commence | 10/774,950 | HERGET, PHILIPP | J. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ngan Ngo | 2818 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence addre | ess | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro te, cause the application to become ABANDOI | timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 I | March 2005. | | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | prosecution as to the m | nerits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | · · | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | with the modern during the second | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ction is required if the drawing(s) is o | objected to. See 37 CFR | 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO- | -152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document | • | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document | | ation No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | , , | | 200 | | | |
| application from the International Burea | • | ved in this National Sta | age | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | , | ved. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summa | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 | Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa | Date ! Patent Application (PTO-15) | 52) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | Processor (C. 19. III | , | | | |

The previous office action mailed March 2, 2005 is incorrect. Therefore a new response is required.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 421.
- II. Claims 6-13, drawn to a process for using a semiconductor device, classified in class 365, subclass 1+.
- III. Claims 14-18, drawn to a process of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be used by processes materially different than those of the group II invention. For example the device in claim 1 can be used without the step of "heating said storage layer" as required by claim 10.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

without the nucleation sites.

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group III invention, since the process of the group III invention could be used to make a product materially different than those of the group I invention. For example, the process in claim 14 could be used to make a device

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and, as shown by the above different classifications, the fields of search are not co-extensive and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Ngan Ngo at telephone number (571) 272-1711. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/774,950

Art Unit: 2818

Page 4

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngan Van Ngo Primary Examiner

Ngan Ngo

June 2, 2005